## **Corporate Services Scrutiny Sub-Panel**

## **Tourism Development Fund: Assistance to the Private Sector**

## **Record of Meeting**

Date: 10th October 2012

Meeting No: 7

Present	Deputy S. Power, Chairman Deputy R.J. Rondel, Vice-Chairman Deputy S. Luce
Apologies	
Absent	
In attendance	Miss S. McKee, Scrutiny Officer

Ref Back	Agenda matter	Action
	1. Review Evaluation	
513/34	The Sub-Panel considered the process of the Tourism Development Fund: Assistance to the Private Sector review to date, noting that Paragraph 11.21 of the Code of Practice for Scrutiny Panels and the Public Accounts Committee stated that Panels would, at the end of a review, evaluate the effectiveness of that review.	
	The Sub-Panel noted that, although it had to work to a very tight time constraint, the review progressed well and the report produced good, unexpected evidence. Members considered the importance of setting strict time scales and targets when working to a tight timeframe. In this regard, the Sub-Panel believed that arranging subsequent meetings at its first meeting worked very well. It was recalled that a meeting schedule had been agreed which stated that the Sub-Panel would meet weekly on Friday mornings.	
	The Sub-Panel noted that, although it had produced valuable findings and recommendations, the final direction of the report could have been clearer. It was agreed by Members that this could have been achieved through ignoring outside influences.	
	2. Follow up on Recommendations	
513/34	It was recalled that the Corporate Services Panel had agreed at its last meeting that one Member of the Sub-Panel be delegated the responsibility of following up on the recommendations made during the review. The Sub-Panel also agreed with this decision and it was therefore concluded that the Vice-Chairman, with the help of the officer, would be responsible for this task.	
	The Sub-Panel was informed by the Officer that the Economic Development Department had confirmed that one of the Recommendations, that had a target date of October 2012, had now been completed. Members were therefore provided with a copy of the Ministerial Decision – <i>Tourism Development Fund: Scheme</i>	

	Specification: Updated (P.26/2012). However, the Officer was asked to request a signed and dated copy of the Ministerial Decision for the Sub-Panels review.	SM
	3. Innovation Fund	
515/26	The Sub-Panel was advised that the Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel had also agreed in its last meeting that the matter of the Innovation Fund Report would be deferred to the TDF Sub-Panel for its consideration. However, Deputy S. Luce advised Members that the matter was now being reviewed by the Economic Affairs Scrutiny Panel, as it also fell within its remit. The Officer was requested to circulate the Innovation Fund Report to the Sub-Panel for its information.	SM